

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/619,679	HIRAO, KOICHIRO	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Sath V. Perungavoor	2624	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

 (1) Sath V. Perungavoor.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Mr. Brian E. Hennessey (Reg. No. 51,271).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 23 July 2007
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

101

Claims discussed:

17

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**
*Examiner suggested language to overcome the 101 rejection and applicant's representative agreed with an authorization for Examiner's amendment.*
**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)